

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/051,164	01/18/2002	Santosh C. Lolayekar	MARA-01002US0 SBS	7069		
28554	7590 07/08/2005		EXAMINER			
VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS HARMON & DENIRO LLP 685 MARKET STREET, SUITE 540			VU, VIE	VU, VIET DUY		
	SCO, CA 94105	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2154			
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'n									
`		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/051,	164	LOLAYEKAR ET	AL.				
Office Action Summa		Examin	er	Art Unit					
		Viet Vu		2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s)	îled on <u>06 <i>June 2005</i></u>							
2a)□	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-20 and 27-39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
· ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.								
· <u> </u>	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,12,25 and 26</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>13-20 and 27-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
The second desired desired desired and of the definion depict not redelyed.									
		•							
Attachment	(s)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	(DTO 048)		Immary (PTO-413)					
3) 🛭 Inform Paper	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 No(s)/Mail Date			/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT0 -	O-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (R	ademark Office ev. 1-04)	Office Action Summ	nary	Part of Paper No./Mail D	ate 07072005				

Application/Control Number: 10/051,164

Art Unit: 2154

1. The current title is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Page 2

Art Rejections:

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-10 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dimitroff</u> et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,209,023 in view of Blumenau et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,195703.

Per claims 1-3 and 9-10, <u>Dimitroff</u> discloses a method for use by a storage area network comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/051,164 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

a) receiving, by a bridge, a solicitation for a storage service from a device in the storage network (see col 11, lines 1-12);

b) performing, by the bridge, the storage service without further involvement from the device, including transmitting any data required to be transmitted as a result of performing the storage service without buffering the data (see col 3, lines 14-39 and claim 1).

<u>Dimitroff</u> does not disclose using a switch for routing host request to storage device. <u>Dimitroff</u> however suggests that the invention would be implemented in a switched network (<u>see col 2</u>, <u>lines 11-16</u>). The use of such storage switch is well known in the art as disclosed by <u>Blumenau</u> (<u>see Blumenau's col 5</u>, <u>lines 1-39</u>).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize <u>Blumenau's</u> switch in <u>Dimitroff</u> because it would have provided high performance and efficient storage area network.

Per claims 4-5, <u>Dimitroff</u> teaches translating data/commands between fiber channel and SCSI protocols (<u>see col 3, lines 14-39</u>).

Per claims 6-8, <u>Blumenau</u> discloses switch that comprises a plurality of line cards for providing a plurality of storage

Application/Control Number: 10/051,164

Art Unit: 2154

service including backup, restore, replication, etc., (see Blumenau's col 5, lines 1-39).

Page 4

Per claims 21-24, <u>Blumenau</u> also teaches updating flowIDs in the routing table periodically for performing storage services more efficiently (see Blumenau's col 7, lines 27-66).

Allowable Subject Matter:

5. Claims 11-12 and 25-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on 571-272-3964.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 2154

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2154 7/7/05